# JANE EDWARDS ELEMENTARY 1960 Jane Edwards Rd. Edisto Island, South Carolina 29438 PK-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 117 Students Melissa VandeWiel 843-559-4171 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 Mr. Gregg Meyers 843-720-8714 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory U 0 28 11 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 9 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan;

the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

82.4%

85.0%

76.7%

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD


Our School

**Below Basic** 

Percent satisfied with home-school relations

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Average	Excellent	N/A
2003	Below Average	Below Average	No

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS



NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	17	20	32			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	80.0%	75.0%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	73.7%	59.4%			

Full-pay meals

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

PACT PERFORMANCE						,		<u>,                                     </u>
	,	Rent Testing	/ do /	alow Basic	/.c. /	Proficient of	Advanced ole Profi	cient and cite Advanced
	ollo	VEL LES	lested alot	OHL	Basic ol	Profile	Advan Tof	cile want
	Ento	184 0/0	, / 0/0 B	0/1	0/10	0/0	0/0/	Ar Sta
			Er	iglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	88	98.9	41.2	47.1	10.6	1.2	11.8	17.6
Gender								
Male	51	98.0	45.8	41.7	12.5	N/A	12.5	17.6
Female	37	100.0	35.1	54.1	8.1	2.7	10.8	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								1= 6
White	12	100.0	9.1	63.6	18.2	9.1	27.3	17.6
African-American	71	98.6	45.7	45.7	8.6	N/A	8.6	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	84	98.8	39.5	49.4	9.9	1.2	11.1	17.6
Disabled	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	88	98.9	40.5	47.6	10.7	1.2	11.9	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	87	98.9	41.0	48.2	9.6	1.2	10.8	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	72	98.6	43.5	44.9	10.1	1.4	11.6	17.6

26.7

100.0

60.0

13.3

13.3

17.6

				Mathe	matics			
All students	88	98.9	35.3	48.2	14.1	2.4	16.5	15.5
Gender								
Male	51	98.0	37.5	45.8	12.5	4.2	16.7	15.5
Female	37	100.0	32.4	51.4	16.2	N/A	16.2	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	12	100.0	18.2	27.3	36.4	18.2	54.5	15.5
African-American	71	98.6	37.1	54.3	8.6	N/A	8.6	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	84	98.8	33.3	49.4	14.8	2.5	17.3	15.5
Disabled	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	88	98.9	34.5	48.8	14.3	2.4	16.7	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	87	98.9	34.9	49.4	13.3	2.4	15.7	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	72	98.6	36.2	50.7	13.0	N/A	13.0	15.5
Full-pay meals	16	100.0	26.7	40.0	20.0	13.3	33.3	15.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	84 Of 1 0/0	183 010 86	40,	Ba 0/0	6/2	AC 0/0 Profit
		/ • •	7		/Langua	ge Arts		/ ५५
$\Delta$	Grade 3	12	N/A	8.3	41.7	50.0	N/A	50.0
	Grade 4	17	N/A	29.4	52.9	17.6	N/A	17.6
2002	Grade 5	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	15	N/A	53.3	26.7	20.0	N/A	20.0
	Grade 7	22	N/A	9.1	72.7	18.2	N/A	18.2
•	Grade 8	16	N/A	18.8	62.5	18.8	N/A	18.8
<b>A</b>	Grade 3	13	100.0	38.5	7.7	46.2	7.7	53.8
	Grade 4	11	100.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	N/A	20.0
8	Grade 5	19	100.0	38.9	61.1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	11	100.0	54.5	45.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	15	93.3	50.0	42.9	7.1	N/A	7.1
	Grade 8	19	100.0	42.1	57.9	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	12	N/A	41.7	33.3	25.0	N/A	25.0
	Grade 4	17	N/A	41.2	41.2	17.6	N/A	17.6
2002	Grade 5	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	15	N/A	66.7	33.3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	22	N/A	40.9	50.0	4.5	4.5	9.1
•	Grade 8	16	N/A	37.5	56.3	6.3	N/A	6.3
	Grade 3	13	100.0	23.1	61.5	15.4	N/A	15.4
	Grade 4	11	100.0	10.0	40.0	30.0	20.0	50.0
2003	Grade 5	19	100.0	33.3	61.1	5.6	N/A	5.6
20	Grade 6	11	100.0	45.5	36.4	18.2	N/A	18.2
	Grade 7	15	93.3	57.1	35.7	7.1	N/A	7.1
	Grade 8	19	100.0	36.8	47.4	15.8	N/A	15.8

# SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 117)				
Students enrolled in high school cred courses (grades 7 & 8)	dit 0.0%	No change	7.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	3.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.3%	Down from 97.8%	94.7%	95.2%
	6.8%	Down from 8.2%	5.9%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	3.4%	Up from 0.6%	16.5%	14.1%
	17.9%	Up from 0.7%	8.8%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	1.4%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 15)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	40.0%	Up from 23.1%	47.4%	47.1%
	60.0%	Up from 53.8%	74.4%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	ar 51.2%	Down from 63.4%	78.7%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.1%	Down from 95.2%	94.5%	95.0%
	\$36,244	Up 5.5%	\$38,666	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	9.8 days	Down from 10.7 days	11.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	No change	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	12.7 to 1	Down from 15.3 to 1	19.4 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.5%	Down from 92.3%	86.5%	88.9%
	\$7,689	Up 12.2%	\$6,596	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	51.7%	Down from 52.2%	59.0%	62.0%
	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	82.5%	94.8%
	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	f 1	M::	Data
Appreviations	101 1	พมรรมเน	Dala

			· ·	
N/A Not Applica	ble N/C Not C	ollected N/R N	Not Reported I/S	Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Jane Edwards Community School continues to make progress during the 2002-03 school year. Our students, staff, parents, and community work closely to implement our school renewal plan, recognizing our strengths and overcoming our obstacles.

We have experienced great success this year. Jane Edwards Community School received recognition as a Palmetto Gold and NAEYC Accreditation recipient. The strong volunteer program has continued to positively impact our students. Also, our devoted faculty and staff provide motivating and challenging instruction for children.

Jane Edwards Community School strives to excel. We are addressing our challenges with research-based initiatives. Our teachers provide hands-on Mathematics and inquiry-based Science instruction to promote students' critical thinking. We strive to improve achievement in English Language Arts through the implementation of Cunningham's Four Blocks, Reading Recovery, Accelerated Reader, and the Home Literacy Trail. Also, teachers continue to integrate technology into their classrooms.

Our school has certainly seen progress this year. However, we understand that on the road to excellence, we will encounter many obstacles. Together, with our community, we will continue to overcome our challenges and celebrate our successes.

Melissa L. VandeWiel, Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.